29th November 1928]

(*b*) whether the Government will place a copy of all the Government Orders and notifications regarding unpassed men with a statement of the reasons therefor on the table of the House ;

(*c*) whether they are aware that the community most affected by the several Government Orders and notifications is that of the Muslims ; and

(*d*) whether the Government took this aspect into consideration before they issued the said Government Orders and notifications ?

*A*.—(*a*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 736.

(*b*) If the hon. Member will specify the particular orders he has in mind the Government will consider the question of putting them on the table of the House.

(*c*) & (*d*) The answer to clause (*c*) is in the negative.

*Alleged memorials from unpassed men in Government service.*

* 925-B *Q*.—Mr. Basheer Ahmad Sayeed : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have been receiving memorials and appeals from unpassed men aggrieved by the several Government Orders and notifications and how many such appeals have been submitted by the Muslims in the service, ever since the issue of those Government Orders ;

(*b*) what is the total number of such unpassed men in service affected by the said Government Orders from among the Muslim community in the various departments in the Presidency ; and

(*c*) how many of these unpassed Muslim servants were given general and permanent exemptions by the Government before the issue of the Government Orders in question and how many were exempted by the heads of departments after the issue of the said Government Orders ?

*A*.—(*a*), (*b*) & (*c*) The hon. Member does not specify the Government Orders he has in mind. The Government have received memorials and appeals from unpassed men. To collect the information required by the hon. Member would involve the examination of files stretching over several years. The Government do not consider it necessary to embark on a labour of such magnitude.

*Representation from the Andhra University regarding the amendment of the Public Service Notification.*

* 926 *Q*.—Mr G. Harisarvottama Rao : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state, with reference to the answers given to question No. 357 answered on 8th October 1928, regarding representation from the Andhra University, regarding the amendment of the Public Service notification—

(*a*) whether any representation has since been made by the Andhra University on this question ;

[29th November 1928

(b) whether the Government have perused the report of the meeting of the Senate of the Andhra University held on the 28th of September last whereat the Vice-Chancellor reported to the Senate that the matter was in correspondence with the Government who had been addressed to reconsider their decision and remove what appears to the Syndicate to be quite an unnecessary restriction; and

(c) what action the Government have taken or propose to take in the matter?

A.—(a) No.

(b) The Government have not received the report referred to.

(c) The Government do not propose to take any action.

Mr. P. C. Venkatapathi Raju:—"With reference to clause (c) of the answer to this question, may I ask the hon. Minister whether he is not going to take any action?"

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks:—"I am afraid it is for me to answer the question, Sir. The report referred to has not been received and that is why we do not propose to take any action."

Mr. P. C. Venkatapathi Raju:—"May I ask whether the Government cannot take action on their own initiative?"

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks:—"No. We do not propose to take any action on our own initiative."

## Survey

*Abolition of some survey parties.*

*927 Q.—Mr. T. Adinarayana Chettiyar: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that some parties in the Survey department were abolished in 1927–28;

(b) how many 'parties' were so abolished;

(c) how many temporary hands and how many permanent hands were dispensed with owing to such abolition;

(d) whether it is a fact that in abolishing a party only the temporary surveyors were dispensed with and the permanent hands retained;

(e) whether it is a fact that the higher officers have as a consequence left to them such field work as is done by surveyors; and

(f) how many higher officers and field-surveyors are proposed to be abolished during the year 1928–29?

A.—(a) & (b) One party was abolished with effect from 1st October 1927.

(c) & (d) No men holding permanent appointments were dispensed with. The temporary establishment normally required for one party including temporary surveyors was dispensed with. That establishment consists of 4 Sub-Assistants, 6 Head Surveyors, 45 Deputy Surveyors, 150 Field Surveyors, 80 Computor-draughtsmen, 30 clerks, 35 peons. The Government